Velocity-porosity relationships in oceanic basalt from eastern flank of the Juan de Fuca Ridge: The effect of crack closure on seismic velocity
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Abstract. To construct in situ velocity-porosity relationships for oceanic basalt, considering crack features, P- and S-wave velocity measurements on basaltic samples obtained from the eastern flank of the Juan de Fuca Ridge were carried out under confining pressures up to 40 MPa. Assuming that the changes in velocities with confining pressures are originated by micro-crack closure, we estimated micro-crack aspect ratio spectra using the Kuster-Tokşoz theory. The result demonstrates that the normalised aspect ratio spectra of the different samples have similar characteristics. From the normalised aspect ratio spectrum, we then constructed theoretical velocity-porosity relationships by calculating an aspect ratio spectrum for each porosity. In addition, by considering micro-crack closure due to confining pressure, a velocity-porosity relationship as a function of confining pressure could be obtained. The theoretical relationships that take into account the aspect ratio spectra are consistent with the observed relationships for over 100 discrete samples measured at atmospheric pressure, and the commonly observed pressure dependent relationships for a wide porosity range. The agreement between the laboratory-derived data and theoretically estimated values demonstrates that the velocity-porosity relationships of the basaltic samples obtained from the eastern flank of the Juan de Fuca Ridge, and their pressure dependence, can be described by the crack features (i.e. normalised aspect ratio spectra) and crack closure.
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Introduction

Relationships between velocity and porosity for the in-situ oceanic basalt layer have not been adequately established because velocities are highly dependent on effective pressure due to thin crack closure. It has been previously established that velocities of basalts are significantly influenced by crack geometry as well as crack aspect ratios (e.g. Wilkens et al., 1988; Wilkens et al., 1991; Ludwig et al., 1998; Cerney and Carlson, 1999). Therefore, to construct a relationship between velocity and porosity, we need to consider changes in crack aspect ratio and crack closure with effective pressure. In addition, when constructing a velocity-porosity relationship, it is equally important to evaluate the degree and type of alteration as well as fracture characteristics, because hydrothermal alteration and the formation of secondary minerals significantly influence the crack features of the oceanic crust (Alt et al., 1986; Cerney and Carlson, 1999).

Here, we first present the results of P- and S-wave velocity measurements that were made on basaltic samples obtained from Expedition 301 of the Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) as a function of confining pressure, and then apply the Kuster-Tokşoz theory (Kuster and Tokşoz, 1974) to investigate crack features (crack aspect ratio spectrum). From these features we subsequently attempt to construct theoretical velocity-porosity relationships as a function of confining pressure. To check the accuracy of the calculated relations, furthermore, we measured over 100 discrete samples at atmospheric pressure.

Geologic setting

Site U1301 (Figure 1) is located on a buried basement ridge (Second Ridge) of 3.6 Ma old crust. It is 100 km east of the crest of the Juan de Fuca Ridge that has been spreading at a rate of ~3 cm/yr (Davis and Currie, 1993). The basement relief in this area is generally characterised by linear ridges and troughs sub-parallel to the spreading centre, which have been mainly produced by faulting, variation of magmatic supply at the ridge, and off-axis volcanism. Although low-permeability sediments cover the basement and limit heat loss across most of the ridge flank, Fisher et al. (1997) demonstrated that the pore pressure within the oceanic crust was close to hydrostatic near the drilling site. During previous drilling activities in this area (Fisher and Davis, 2000), core samples and downhole logging data were acquired within the sedimentary section and sediment-basement interface. However Hole U1301B is the first borehole to investigate several hundred metres of the topmost oceanic crust in this area (Fisher et al., 2005).

During IODP Expedition 301, three holes (U1301A, U1301B, and U1301C) were drilled at this site. The seafloor depth at the drilling site is 2667 m below sea surface. Crustal samples were recovered from 351 to 583 m below seafloor (mbsf) or 86 to 318 m below the sediment-basement interface. The core recovery in this interval is ~30% and the lithostratigraphic sequences consist of pillow basalt, massive basalt, and basalt-hyaloclastite. We will discuss the properties of the basaltic samples from Hole U1301B in the next section.
Pillow basalts are the most abundant lithology recovered from Hole U1301B and are identified by the presence of curved chilled margins, oblique to the vertical axis of the core, with perpendicular radial cooling cracks. They are subdivided into aphyric and sparsely to moderately phyric curved chilled margins, oblique to the vertical axis of the flow sections (Table 1). The samples were obtained from the IODP whole round cores (Figure 2a) with the minicore principal axis corresponding to the vertical direction (z-axis), and we measured velocities in the vertical direction (z-axis).

In addition to minicore measurements, we measured physical properties on over 100 cubic samples at atmospheric pressure and surface temperature conditions during the IODP Expedition 301 (small dots in Figure 3). The cubic samples are ~2.1 cm on each side and P-wave velocities were measured in three orthogonal directions (Figure 2b).

Index properties

Density and porosity values were obtained from saturated and dry weights, and dry volumes. Saturated minicore samples were immersed in seawater and placed in a vacuum for 24 h whereas dry minicore samples were obtained by drying them for 24 h at 100 °C. Minicore bulk densities range from 2.69 to 2.90 t/m³, grain densities from 2.78 to 2.93 t/m³, and porosities from 1.27 to 4.84% with an average value of 2.58% (Table 1, Figure 3). The average minicore porosity value is lower than that of cubic samples (~5.47%) because the latter could be obtained from the portions with high-porosity intervals with high-fracture densities (black dots in Figure 3). There is no significant trend in index properties as a function of depth (Figure 3) although properties of cubic samples seem to change locally due to variations in degrees of alteration and fracturing. These variations seem to correspond to well defined boundaries such as the location of pillow lava units and the boundaries between pillow lavas and massive units (Expedition 301 Scientists, 2005).

P- and S-wave velocities

We used the pulse transmission technique (Birch, 1960) to determine P- and S-wave velocities under applied confining pressures. Minicores were jacketed with rubber tubing to isolate them from the confining pressure medium. A high-viscosity adhesive was used to bond the transducers to the minicores. The principal frequencies used were 1 MHz and 500 kHz for P- and S-wave velocity measurements, respectively. The experimental configuration allowed simultaneous measurement of P- and S-wave travel-times at confining pressures ranging from 1.4 MPa (200 psi) to 40 MPa (5800 psi) (Figure 4). Although we wanted to measure velocities up to ~150 MPa in order to consider closure of relatively thick cracks, we could not measure them under such a high confining pressure due to limitation of our pressure vessel. Travel-times were measured after digitising each trace with 1000 points over a time sweep of ~2 × 10⁻³ s, with a resulting time resolution of ~2 × 10⁻⁵ s. The recorded waveforms (Figure 4) demonstrate change in travel time and amplitude with confining pressure. We could easily determine the first arrival of waveforms, except for S-wave at low confining pressure (Figure 4). From the amplitude variation for each frequency component, furthermore, we can evaluate attenuation (see Appendix).

P- and S-wave travel-times of minicores were first measured under dry conditions (Figure 5) and then pore fluid pressure was applied to obtain saturated-condition measurements. However, we could not effectively measure travel-times under saturated conditions in this way, because the sample permeabilities were significantly low. Instead, the minicores were saturated within a separate vessel, under vacuum for 24 h, and the saturated velocities were measured (Figure 5). Therefore, in both dry and saturated conditions, pore pressures correspond to atmospheric pressure, and the effective pressures (or differential pressure) correspond to confining pressures when fluid-matrix interaction (e.g. Biot, 1955) is ignored.

The dry P-wave velocities at a confining pressure of 40 MPa range from 5135 m/s to 6234 m/s, with an average value of 5727 m/s (Table 1, Figure 5). S-wave velocities under the same conditions range from 3025 m/s to 3580 m/s, with an average value of 3328 m/s. The velocities in pillow centres (Figures 5b and 5e) are higher than those in pillow margins (Figures 5a and 5d). The P-wave velocities under dry and saturated conditions are similar, except for results from a high-porosity sample (32R-2W). P-wave velocity under saturated conditions should be faster than that under dry conditions because the rock elastic bulk modulus usually stiffens when air in dry pores is...
Table 1. Bulk densities, grain densities, porosities, and P- and S-wave velocities of minicore samples recovered from the eastern flank of the Juan de Fuca Ridge. Velocity values were obtained as a function of effective pressure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Section, Interval Depth</th>
<th>Bulk density (t/m³)</th>
<th>Grain density (t/m³)</th>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
<th>Vp, dry (km/s)</th>
<th>Vs, dry (km/s)</th>
<th>Vp, sat (km/s)</th>
<th>Vs, sat (km/s)</th>
<th>Vp, dry (km/s)</th>
<th>Vs, dry (km/s)</th>
<th>Vp, sat (km/s)</th>
<th>Vs, sat (km/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2R-3W 142–147</td>
<td>361.46 mbsf</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>5.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillow margin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4R-3W 123–128</td>
<td>370.62 mbsf</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>5.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillow margin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11R-1W 80–85</td>
<td>425.20 mbsf</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15R-3W 66–71</td>
<td>447.26 mbsf</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>5.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18R-2W 16–21</td>
<td>472.46 mbsf</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>5.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21R-4W 62–67</td>
<td>495.11 mbsf</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>5.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillow centre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24R-1W 102–107</td>
<td>506.92 mbsf</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillow margin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillow centre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32R-2W 44–49</td>
<td>551.88 mbsf</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>5.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillow margin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35R-2W 133–138</td>
<td>566.4 mbsf</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>6.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillow centre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 2. (a) Schematic image showing the orientation of minicores extracted from whole round cores. Ten minicores were used for high pressure experiments. (b) Schematic of cubic samples extracted from the working half of the split core (Expedition 301 Scientists, 2005). The cubic samples are \(\sim 2.1\) cm on each side. More than 100 cubic samples were used for atmospheric pressure experiments. Measured velocities on cubic samples were obtained in three orthogonal directions (\(x, y, z\)-axes).

Fig. 3. Depth profiles of (a) grain density, (b) bulk density, (c) porosity, (d) P-wave velocity, and (e) S-wave velocity. Large dots represent the results of minicore measurements. Minicore velocity values used in this figure were obtained at a confining pressure of 40 MPa in dry conditions. Small dots represent the results of cubic samples measured at atmospheric pressure (Expedition 301 Scientists, 2005). Curves in the profiles of P- and S-wave velocities represent downhole logging data.

replaced with less-compressible seawater (e.g. Toksöz et al., 1976). One of the explanations for similar velocities between dry and saturated conditions is that the samples may not have been totally saturated, because P-wave velocities are much increased near totally saturated conditions (Knight and Nolen-Hoeksema, 1990).

The P- and S-wave velocities obtained from logging data (lines in Figure 3) show more scatter and are slower than those obtained from laboratory measurements (dots in Figure 3). There are inherent difficulties in comparing high frequency laboratory data with low frequency logging data, because of dispersion. Furthermore, the difference in velocities could arise from the different spatial scales measured by different measurement techniques; for example, fracture porosity occurring at a scale larger than the laboratory samples can only be detected by logging measurements.

At low confining pressure, both P- and S-wave velocities increase rapidly with confining pressures (Figure 5), primarily due to closure of micro-cracks and flaws (e.g. Kuster and Toksöz, 1974). This velocity increase is important, because we can estimate the crack aspect ratio spectrum from the change in velocity with confining pressure.
Discussion

Micro-crack aspect ratio spectrum

Seismic velocities of consolidated rock (e.g. basalt) vary with confining pressure (Figure 5) mainly due to crack closure in the rock (e.g. Kuster and Toksöz, 1974), so the pore space of our basaltic samples can be modelled as cracks. Because the change in velocity with confining pressure is a measure of the amount of micro-crack closure at a particular pressure (e.g. Toksöz et al., 1976), micro-crack aspect ratio spectra can be estimated from the
relationship between velocity and confining pressure. Although the cracks in our minicore samples mainly originated as a result of degassing, fracturing and alteration, some were also induced by drilling operations and expansion due to pressure release. Since we cannot distinguish the origins of cracks, we estimate the aspect ratio spectrum over the wide variety of micro-cracks.

In this application, a ‘pore’ is considered to be a randomly oriented ellipsoidal crack, which can be described by its aspect ratio. Furthermore, the pore space in a rock is assumed to be made up of pores with various aspect ratios. Kuster and Toksöz (1974) derived expressions for P- and S-wave velocities by using a long-wavelength first-order scattering theory. For a two-phase material, the effective elastic moduli \( K^* \) and \( \mu^* \) at a confining pressure \( P_n \) can be calculated by the following expressions:

\[
\frac{K^* - K}{K} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_m \left[ 1 + \frac{dc}{c} (\alpha_m, P_n) \right] \frac{1}{3} T_{ijij} (\alpha_m) \frac{c(\alpha_m)}{\alpha_m}, (1)
\]

and

\[
\frac{\mu^* - \mu}{\mu} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_m \left[ 1 + \frac{dc}{c} (\alpha_m, P_n) \right] \frac{25 \mu (3K + 4\mu)}{6 \mu_n (K + 2\mu) + \mu (9K + 8\mu)} \left( T_{ijij} (\alpha_m) - \frac{1}{3} T_{ijij} (\alpha_m) \right) \frac{c(\alpha_m)}{\alpha_m}, (2)
\]

where \( K \) and \( \mu \) are the grain elastic moduli; \( K^* \) and \( \mu^* \) are the inclusion moduli; \( \alpha_m \) and \( c(\alpha_m) \) are the aspect ratio and its corresponding concentration; and \( T_{ijij} \) are scalar quantities calculated for an oblate spheroid pore as a function of aspect ratio, taking into account the pore shape and elastic properties (Kuster and Toksöz, 1974; Mavko et al., 1998). The term \( dc/c \) is the fractional change in the concentration of aspect ratio \( \alpha_m \) of an ellipsoidal pore at a confining pressure \( P_n \) (Toksöz et al., 1976; Cheng and Toksöz, 1979) that can be described as:

\[
\frac{dc}{c} (\alpha_m, P_n) = \frac{P_n}{K^*} \left[ E_1 - E_2 \right] \frac{E_3/ (E_1 + E_3)}{E_1}, (3)
\]

where \( E_i \) are functions of aspect ratio \( \alpha \) and some effective matrix moduli defined as the effective static matrix moduli of the rock with all the pores except those with aspect ratio \( \alpha \) (Toksöz et al., 1976). The term \( K^* \) is the static bulk modulus of the empty rock (Toksöz et al., 1976). Because the static bulk modulus is unknown, it is taken to be the dynamic bulk modulus of the dry rock (Cheng and Toksöz, 1979). When \( dc/c \) (equation 3) is less than \(-1\), we consider that the cracks of aspect ratio \( \alpha_m \) are closed. Because the Kuster-Toksöz theory neglects any crack-crack interactions, it becomes invalid as the total number of cracks grows large because the no crack interaction assumption is violated. However, in low-porosity basaltic samples such as those measured in this study \( (\sum \frac{\alpha_m}{\alpha_m} < 1) \), the theory provides reasonable estimates.

We estimated the concentration of aspect ratios \( c(\alpha_m)/\alpha_m \) and grain elastic moduli with equations (1) and (2) using inversion techniques (Cheng and Toksöz, 1979; Ludwig et al., 1998; Cerney and Carlson, 1999). The initial choice of aspect ratios for the inversion is arbitrary, so the aspect ratio spectrum obtained by inverting the velocity data is not unique but depends on the initial choice of aspect ratios. In this study, a total of seven different aspect ratios \((0.1, 0.01, 0.000562, 0.000178, 0.001, 0.0000562)\) were used. The lower aspect ratio distribution \((0.000562 \text{ to } 0.0000562)\) was chosen so that each aspect ratio is effectively closed at successive pressure increments (Cerney and Carlson, 1999). However, we could not estimate an accurate concentration of crack aspect ratios over \(~0.000562\) because the larger crack aspect ratios do not close up at the maximum confining pressures of 40 MPa, because of the stiffness of the basaltic samples. Furthermore, bulk moduli of some basaltic samples are not much changed with confining pressure, and the trends are different from the theoretically estimated value. Therefore, we ignored the highly anomalous parts for inversion.

Inversion results demonstrate that aspect ratio spectra \( c(\alpha) \) for the different samples have similar characteristics (Figure 6a). We could not find a significant difference in aspect ratio spectra between pillow basalts and massive basalts, although the grain elastic moduli are different for each sample. By summing the concentration of cracks of various aspect ratios (Figure 6a), the total porosity \( \phi = \sum c(\alpha) \) can be obtained.

Relation between velocity and porosity

Examining the velocity-porosity relationships, we find that P- and S-wave velocities clearly decrease with increasing porosity (Figure 7), as seen in previous studies such as those from Hole 504B (Wilkens et al., 1983) and Hole s896A (Wilkens and
Although the P-wave velocities measured in low-porosity samples are similar to those recovered from Holes U1301B, 504B, and 896A, the velocities measured in high-porosity (>5%) samples from Hole U1301B are lower than those from Holes 504B and 896A.

The theoretical relationships derived using the Kuster-Toksöz theory, assuming a constant crack aspect ratio \( \alpha \) (e.g. Wilkens et al., 1991), demonstrate that the average pore aspect ratio of samples from Hole U1301B is between 0.05 and 0.1 (Figure 7), and minicore samples from Hole U1301B seem to be influenced by lower crack aspect ratios more than those from Holes 504B and 896A. However, this estimate \((\alpha = 0.05 - 0.1)\) assumes that all pore spaces have the same aspect ratio \( \alpha \), which is highly unrealistic because, as shown in Figure 6a, pore spaces can have a wide range of aspect ratios. In addition, velocities are significantly increased by crack closure under confining pressures (Figure 5). Therefore, the velocity-porosity relationship should take into account the effect of confining pressure.

Accordingly, we derive a theoretical relation between velocities and porosities as a function of confining pressures, using aspect ratio spectra \( c(\alpha_i) \) (Figure 6a). First, the aspect ratio spectrum is normalised by total porosity \( \phi = \sum_c(\alpha_i) \) for each sample (Figure 6b) (Cerney and Carlson, 1999):

\[
c_{\text{nor}}(\alpha_m) = c(\alpha_m) / \phi.
\]

The sum of the normalised concentrations (equation 4) becomes one, and the porosity is a scaling factor. The normalised concentrations of all samples are then averaged (bold line in Figure 6b) (Cerney and Carlson, 1999), as follows:

\[
c_{\text{ave}}(\alpha_m) = \sum_j c_{\text{nor}}(\alpha_m) / N,
\]

where \( j \) is a sample index, and \( N \) denotes the number of samples. This averaged normalised concentration represents the characteristics of pore space of all the minicore samples. In order to match total aspect ratio concentration, the averaged normalised concentration (equation 5) is multiplied by a porosity term, \( c_{\text{ave}}(\alpha_m) \cdot \phi \), and this allows the calculation of the effective elastic moduli \( (K^*, \mu^*) \) for a wide porosity range by entering \( c_{\text{ave}}(\alpha_m) \cdot \phi \) and the averaged grain elastic moduli \( (K_{\text{ave}} = 62.8, \mu_{\text{ave}} = 34.4) \) into the Kuster-Toksöz equation. In this analysis, we assume that the average normalised concentration of aspect ratios (eqn 5) is similar over a wide porosity range. Similar Poisson’s ratio for minicore samples (Figure 8) demonstrates

![Fig. 7. Diagrams showing velocity-porosity relationships in conjunction with relationships for constant aspect ratio (lines) obtained using the Kuster-Toksöz theory. Open and solid symbols represent minicore measurements in dry and saturated conditions, respectively. Red, green, and blue dots represent cubic sample measurements at atmospheric pressure for \( x, y \), and \( z \) directions, respectively. The relationship obtained from the discrete samples from Holes 504B and 896A is also presented (*Wilkens et al., 1983; Wilkens and Salisbury, 1996). The dashed sections indicate regions beyond the constraint proposed by Kuster and Toksöz (1974).](image-url)
that the crack aspect ratio spectra for the different samples have similar characteristics, because the introduction of different aspect crack ratios into a host rock generally affects P- and S-wave velocities differently and so changes Poisson’s ratio (e.g., Hyndman, 1979; Shearer, 1988; Swift et al., 1998).

The results show that the theoretical velocity-porosity relationship calculated from the aspect ratio spectrum (black line in Figure 9) is consistent with our laboratory-derived data including our cubic samples obtained at atmospheric pressure (coloured dots in Figure 9a). The agreement of the theoretical relationship with laboratory data for a wide porosity range demonstrates that the velocity-porosity relationship is primarily dependent on crack features; basaltic samples over a wide porosity range have similar normalised aspect ratio spectra. Furthermore, the velocity-porosity relationship as a function of confining pressures (coloured lines in Figure 9) can be calculated from the averaged normalised aspect ratio spectrum, by considering crack closure to be caused by the increase in confining pressure (eqn 3). From these relationships, we calculated velocities at in situ effective pressures, and velocity differences between in situ effective pressure and atmospheric pressure conditions.

Although we could not estimate an accurate concentration of aspect ratios over \(~0.000562\) from our experimental pressure ranges, lower aspect ratio cracks have a greater effect on the velocity-porosity relation (Kuster and Toksöz, 1974). Furthermore, the Kuster-Toksöz theory does not take into account crack-to-crack interactions and the approximation is only valid for low concentrations of cracks. Porosities higher than \(~5\%\) lie beyond the Kuster-Toksöz constraint \(\sum c_i \alpha_i < 1\) (dashed lines in Figures 7, 8, and 9) although some studies have demonstrated that the strict constraints are not necessary for small aspect ratio and low porosity samples (Berryman, 1980; Berryman and Berge, 1996). In our case, the relationship considering aspect ratio spectrum is consistent with high porosity \((~10\%)\) samples regardless of the Kuster-Toksöz constraint.

**Conclusion**

P- and S-wave velocities of basaltic samples from the eastern flank of the Juan de Fuca Ridge were measured under confining pressures. From the relationships between velocity and confining pressure, we estimated the micro-crack aspect ratio spectra using the Kuster-Toksöz theory. Further, considering the aspect ratio

---

Fig. 8. P- and S-wave velocity cross plot in (a) dry conditions and (b) saturated conditions. Constant Poisson’s ratios are represented in black lines. Relationships as a function of constant aspect ratio obtained using the Kuster-Toksöz theory are represented by coloured lines. The dashed sections indicate regions beyond the constraint proposed by Kuster and Toksöz (1974).

Fig. 9. (a) The relationships between P-wave velocity and porosity as a function of confining pressure (lines) obtained using the Kuster-Toksöz theory. (b) The relationships between S-wave velocity and porosity as a function of confining pressure (lines) obtained using the Kuster-Toksöz theory. Solid symbols represent minicore measurements obtained at a confining pressure of 40 MPa in saturated conditions. Red, green, and blue dots represent the cubic sample measurements obtained at atmospheric pressure for x, y, and z directions, respectively. The dashed sections indicate regions beyond the constraint proposed by Kuster and Toksöz (1974).
spectra as well as micro-crack closure, we constructed velocity-porosity relationships as a function of confining pressure. This pressure-dependence on velocity is important when constructing in-situ velocity-porosity relationships and applying them to seismic data. The velocity-porosity relationship that takes into account aspect ratio spectra is consistent with observations on over 100 cubic samples measured at atmospheric pressure, and generally shows pressure-dependent relationships between velocity and porosity. The agreement between theoretical relationships and laboratory data demonstrates that velocity-porosity relationships are well described by crack features (i.e. crack aspect ratio spectrum) and crack closure.
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Appendix: P-wave quality factor

Quality factor is another important acoustic property obtained from laboratory measurements and is closely related to crack intensity, mineral composition, and mineral textures (e.g. Johnston et al., 1979). P-wave quality factors (Figure A) were calculated from the stored signal waveforms (Figure 4) using a spectrum division technique (Toksöz et al., 1979). In order to ensure similar coupling and pressure conditions for both the sample and a reference standard, the signals from an aluminum cylinder of the same sample size were recorded at various pressure steps and used as reference signals. Measurements were carried out in both saturated and dry conditions. The calculated quality factors are affected by many attenuation mechanisms (e.g. matrix inelasticity, viscosity and flow of saturating fluids, and scattering from inclusions), and we cannot fully account for each attenuation mechanism.

Fig. A: P-wave quality factors as a function of confining pressure in (a) the pillow margins, (b) the centre parts of pillow basalts, and (c) the massive basalts. Open and solid symbols represent quality factors in dry and saturated conditions, respectively.

The P-wave quality factors increase with confining pressure, mainly due to closure of micro-cracks, and the trend suggests that values would increase further beyond the maximum pressure (40 MPa) used in the experiment. As a result of micro-crack closure with increasing confining pressure, the frictional dissipation due to relative motions at the grain boundaries and across crack surfaces (Walsh, 1966) becomes small. Furthermore, most P-wave quality factors measured in saturated basaltic samples are lower than those of dry samples (Figure A) because the fluid-associated attenuation is not considered when dealing with dry conditions (e.g. Johnston et al., 1979).

We can find a clear relationship between quality factor and porosity (Figure B-a), similar to that previously reported by Wepfer and Christensen (1991) where quality factor increases rapidly with decreasing porosity. The high quality factor near zero porosity may indicate that the grain mineral inelasticity is very low. In addition, quality factor-velocity relationships (Figure B-c) clearly show that P-wave quality factors increase rapidly (nonlinearly) with velocities.

As in the case of the velocities, P-wave quality factors for centre parts of pillow basalts are higher than those obtained from the pillow margins (Figure A), whereas P-wave quality factors of the massive basalts are lower than those of the pillow basalts. The relationship between quality factors and porosities (Figure B) further demonstrate that the massive basalts have low quality factors, compared...
to pillow basalts with similar porosities. One possible explanation for this is that significant scattering occurs at the 1 MHz source frequency (e.g. Johnston et al., 1979; Hudson, 1981) because of the relatively larger grain sizes in the groundmass of the massive basalts (Tsuji and Yamaguchi, 2007).

P-wave velocity-porosity relationship of basalt

Exploration Geophysics

Comparison of the velocity-porosity relationship of basalt samples from Juan de Fuca Ridge and in situ below an ~265 m thick sedimentary cover. Tompkins and Christensen (1999) reported that P-wave quality factors of the dredged samples of Juan de Fuca Ridge are ~31 at a confining pressure of 5.5 MPa, which corresponds to in situ effective pressure. The discrepancy in P-wave quality factors between the two studies could be attributed to the fact that the samples from the Tompkins and Christensen (1999) study were obtained from seafloor dredges, whereas the samples used in this study were obtained at 100 km off axis of the Juan de Fuca Ridge and in situ below an ~265 m thick sedimentary cover. Furthermore, the difference may represent lateral variations away from ridge crests and different degrees of alteration associated with water-rock interactions at their respective locations. In addition, quality factor measurements are highly dependent on several parameters including strain amplitude (Johnston et al., 1979) as well as the measurement technique; when comparing to the quality factor of low-frequency seismic data, we also need to consider the scale (source frequency) differences. Therefore, it is difficult to compare results from different studies in a quantitatively manner.